Developments in Tort Law in Europe 2016

16th Annual Conference on European Tort Law

SWITZERLAND

Peter Loser University of Basel

Asbestos Litigation Liability based on Reliance Developments in Tort Law in Europe 2016

16th Annual Conference on European Tort Law

Asbestos Litigation



Asbestos Litigation

- Pro Memoria: ECHR Decision *Howald Moor and Others v. Switzerland* of 11 March 2014: Period of Limitation too short in cases of injuries with long latency period
- Legislation:

Revision Period of Limitation for Personal Injuries 30 - 20 - 10 years?

 Settlement Fund Asbestos Victims since 2006 Waive right to file Civil Action Developments in Tort Law in Europe 2016

16th Annual Conference on European Tort Law

Liability based on Reliance

Liability of an ISO Certification Agency Swiss Federal Court 142 III 84 of 2 February 2016



Facts

- D Company an ISO certificated international Broker in foreign exchange trading - forwarded funds of its clients to the Spanish Broker Agency E
- E's transactions investments however were fictitious based on a Ponzi Scheme
- After both Brokers went bankrupt the damaged investor sued the ISO Certification Company
- The investor claimed that the meaning of such ISO Certification was to promote client trust in the certificated D Company

Judgment (1/2)

- Federal Court rejects the claim
- Liability based on Reliance
 - has been developed as an additional basis for liability between contract and tort
 - may not be expanded to a liability towards anyone
 - can not be used to undermine the concept of contract
 - needs sufficiently concrete and specific expectations

Judgment (2/2)

- Certification Company has to assume that D would use the certification to acquire clients
- Relevance of what was effectively the material object of certification
- Conclusion: Certification of an established quality management system by itself does not inspire legally relevant trust in repayment of investments

Commentary 1

- Concept of Liability based on Reliance recognized in Switzerland for about 20 years
- Refining the principles of *culpa in contrahendo* and applying them to comparable constellations

Commentary 2

+ Includes liability of individuals who...

- ...in the context of contractual negotiations between third parties
- ...provide information about one of the parties or their services
- ...and thus influence the conclusion of the contract

Commentary 3

- Excludes liability...
 - ...based on reliance of a voluntary performance of services
 - ...if the relying party has not secured this performance by contract
 - ...except for situations where the prerequisites of freedom of contract are not present

Commentary 4

- How to handle the general problem of liability for information in an appropriate way?
- Draft Common Frame of Reference
 VI. 2:207: Loss upon reliance on incorrect advice or information
 Loss caused to a person as a result of making a <u>decision in</u> reasonable reliance on incorrect advice or information is legally relevant damage if:

(a) the advice or information is provided by a person in pursuit of a profession or in the course of trade; and

(b) the provider knew or could reasonably be expected to have known that the recipient would rely on the advice or information in making a decision of the kind made.